

To: Miller, Andy[Miller.Andy@epa.gov]
Cc: Rodan, Bruce[rodan.bruce@epa.gov]; Costa, Dan[Costa.Dan@epa.gov]; Gwinn, Maureen[gwinn.maureen@epa.gov]; Sjogren, Mya[Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov]
From: Kavlock, Robert
Sent: Wed 6/21/2017 4:38:47 PM
Subject: RE: Additional thoughts on a red team-blue team evaluation of climate science

Andy
I think this is moving forward, at least the thinking on it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Miller, Andy
Sent: Saturday, June 17, 2017 1:38 PM
To: Kavlock, Robert <Kavlock.Robert@epa.gov>
Cc: Rodan, Bruce <rodan.bruce@epa.gov>; Costa, Dan <Costa.Dan@epa.gov>; Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>; Sjogren, Mya <Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Additional thoughts on a red team-blue team evaluation of climate science

Yes. I'll let you know if I hear anything. Otherwise, just watching.

C.A. (Andy) Miller
Associate Director for Climate
Air, Climate, and Energy Research Program US EPA Office of Research and Development Los Angeles,
CA Miller.andy@epa.gov
(213) 244-1809
(919) 699-3072 (cell)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kavlock, Robert
Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 6:51 PM
To: Miller, Andy <Miller.Andy@epa.gov>
Cc: Rodan, Bruce <rodan.bruce@epa.gov>; Costa, Dan <Costa.Dan@epa.gov>; Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>; Sjogren, Mya <Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Additional thoughts on a red team-blue team evaluation of climate science

Thanks for the thinking. Certainly an honest broker would be absolutely essential to avoid a kangaroo court. Let's see if this gets any traction.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jun 16, 2017, at 12:01 AM, Miller, Andy <Miller.Andy@epa.gov> wrote:
>
> scientific